

CLasswork

+6)xh

The (Major) Challenges of the Luxembourgish Education System

July 10th, 2025



ıdea





Some facts and figures

Ioana Pop, IDEA



1. There is a very strong growth in the number of students in the school system

+17,5% in student numbers enrolled in fundamental and secondary education over 10 years.

> → given Luxembourg's population growth, there is probably no other school system in Europe facing such an increase.





2. There is a strong growth in the diversity of nationalities

• The Nationaler Bildungsbericht 2024 highlights in Factsheet no. 1 that Luxembourg's total population grew by 47% over the 20-year period from 2003 to 2023. However, the proportion of Luxembourgish nationals within this population declined, while the share of non-Luxembourgish residents increased, particularly among French citizens, other EU nationals (including the UK), and people of other nationalities (excluding Portuguese, Germans, and Belgians) → this is also something quite unique in international comparison.

Tab. 1: Répartition de la population du Luxembourg par nationalité



ıdęa

3. Luxembourg's population has the highest level of education among EU countries, and this is also increasing very rapidly over the years and even surpassing the EU average growth.

→ this is closely linked with the fact that the national labor market has been very dynamic over the last decades. It is also characterized by economic specializations that require high education levels (finance sector, international headquarters, international institutions , ICT, etc.).





4. There is a significant decline in the number of students enrolled in initial vocational training programmes.

 between the 2016/2017 and 2022/2023 school years, the number of students enrolled in initial vocational training decreased by 3,4% (-1.453 students), falling from 7.422 to 5.969 students — with the largest decline among students enrolled in technician diplomas (-3,5%).

5. PISA results - "the elephant in the room"

- students' performances remain a concern, with PISA results in 2018 showing scores below the EU average in all domains and high shares of students not meeting basic proficiency levels.
- Luxembourg did not take part in the 2022 PISA survey, but it will participate in 2025.

 \rightarrow One of the questions that must be explored in this seminar is "how can we measure performance of the system and how can we compare them with other countries?"





Some reforms to be mentioned



The 2009 reform

- created the *école fondamentale*, merging preschool and primary education into a single, four-cycle structure. In vocational education, it implemented a competence-based, modular training system aligned with labour market needs.

2016 : a shaping year for the education system :

Compulsory schooling from age 16 to 18 - extend compulsory schooling from age 16 to 18. Starting in 2026, young people must stay in school longer, though the 16-17-year-olds (enrolled in a vocational training) can get exemptions to work. The reform aims to reduce dropout and youth unemployment.

The expansion of the Alpha project - starting in 2026, the Alpha project—literacy teaching in French—will be expanded to all primary schools. A phase-in will start with one age group in 2026-2027 and then extend to others. Since 2022, pilots have run in four schools —Differdange, Dudelange, Schifflange, and Larochette. From 2026, families can choose literacy in German or French.



Todays's schedule

ıdęa



	Moments	Speakers
8 :30-9 :00	Welcome breakfast	
9 :00-9 :20	Welcome and introduction	Ioana Pop, IDEA
9 :20-9 :40	Changes in school demographics in primary and secondary education: a challenge for the school system	Dr. Susanne Backes (LUCET)
9 :40-10 :00	Education for all? The Luxembourgish education system and its pitfalls	Dr. Thomas Lenz (LUCET)
10 :00-10 :20	The Luxembourg School Monitoring Programme: Insights and Future Directions	Dr. Sonja Ugen & Dr. Joanne Colling (LUCET)
10 :20-10 :40	From Classrooms to Careers: Educating for a Stronger Economy and Society	Dr. Aigul Alieva (LISER)
10 :40-11 :00	Does Luxembourg have a high-performing education system, and how would we know?	Mr. Edmund Misson (OECD)
11 :00-12 :00	Discussions	
12:00	Lunch	

University of Luxembourg

Multilingual. Personalised. Connected.

Changes in School Demographics in Luxembourgish Primary and Secondary Education: A Challenge for the School System

Dr. Susanne Backes (Luxembourg Centre for Educational Testing, LUCET)



© Luxembourg Centre for Educational Testing (LUCET), University of Luxembourg

Key characteristics of the traditional (standard) education system of Luxembourg

- Includes primary and secondary education
- Demonstrates high stratification after primary education, with tracks leading to distinct school-leaving certificates
- Provides high-specificity vocational training embedded in secondary education
- Incorporates institutionalised orientation phases
- Integrates trilingual language regime
- \rightarrow Remains prone to educational inequalities



VERSITÉ DU

2

A closer look at language regime and languages of instruction in the traditional Luxembourgish education system





2

A closer look at language regime and languages of instruction in the traditional Luxembourgish education system





A closer look at language regime and languages of instruction in the traditional Luxembourgish education system

Language demands – with Luxembourgish, German, French, along with foreign language (mostly English) – are challenging.





Population



Luxembourg is characterized by a *super-diverse population* with inhabitants belonging to various socio-economic, cultural and linguistic backgrounds (Eurydice, 2022)

Distribution of Luxembourg's population in terms of nationality (Statec, 2024)



In the 2021 census, **around half of the respondents** stated Luxembourgish as their first language. Notably, **61.5%** generally used more than one language in a private and professional context and Luxembourgish is also spoken as a second language.

Student population by first language spoken at home (Backes & Lenz, 2024, 2021)

In public primary schools (all programs)

During the 2022/23 school year, only **31.9%** of all the pupils in the enseignement fondamental spoke Luxembourgish as their first language. This proportion was **37.8%** during 2014/15 (45.8% during 2009/10).

In public secondary schools (all programs)

 During the 2022/23 school year, 36.7% of all the pupils in the enseignement secondaire spoke Luxembourgish as their first language. This proportion was 50.4% during 2014/15 (58.5% during 2009/10).

Note: The first language is not always the only language of a student, nor is it the most important, but only the one entered first by the responsible. Thus, it can be understood as a proxy.

Student population – Changes over time



First language of secondary school pupils with Luxembourgish nationality (%) (Backes & Lenz, 2024)



The Luxembourgish school system – all offers



The Luxembourgish school system – all offers





The Luxembourgish school system – all offers





Development of distinct programs



Changes in numbers of students in terms of programs (Backes & Lenz, 2024)



Primary education



Secondary education

Placement of distinct student groups within the education system – Focus on secondary education



Changes in student groups' placement in terms of program and nationality (Backes & Lenz, 2024)



Placement of distinct student groups within the education system – Focus on secondary education

Percentages of students who attended various school types per language group in 2022/23 (%) (Backes & Lenz, 2024)



UNIVERSITÉ DU LUXEMBOURG

Placement of distinct student groups within the education system – Focus on secondary education



Changes in student groups' placements in terms of program and gender (Backes & Lenz, 2024)



Educational inequalities



Definition

Inequalities are systematic variations in educational outcomes (e.g. track placement) along different axes (social origin, gender or migration)

Outcomes/Dimensions of educational inequalities

Track placement, competencies, school marks, grade repetition, drop out, etc

Axes of educational inequalities

 Linguistic background, nationality, socio-economic background (SES), gender etc. (along with intersectionalities)

Educational inequalities often occur in stratified, choice-driven educational systems (Van de Werfhorst & Mijs, 2010; Pfeffer 2008) in which factors such as knowledge of the system and social and economic capital are potentially more influential than in other systems.

For example, we observe strong disparities in track placement, competencies, school marks etc. in Luxembourg to the disadvantage of low SES students.



Excursus



... and what about the teaching staff?
 Luxembourgish
 German
 Belgian
 French
 Other



Change of perspective – A challenge for the students (1/4)



Educational trajectories from selected student perspectives: "And then the next decision came again" (IBIO; Backes, 2015)

'The more choice I had, the less I could decide. [...] And sometimes I wished that they [my parents] would show me a way. [...] They didn't want to interfere, because it was supposed to be my decision. And then I can't blame anyone. It was always my fault because I made the wrong decision. But you never know what it was all good for'. (Student with strongly interwoven pathways)



Change of perspective – A challenge for the students (2/4)





'You're still so young and you already have to get your life on track somehow'.



Change of perspective – A challenge for the students (3/4)



'I made a big effort to move [upwards] from the preparatory track to the regular system [into the adapt class]. There are many more future opportunities to get further education. [...] I learned a lot and was very motivated. The teachers knew that I was motivated to transition upwards and supported me ... I thought it was better I'm learning now instead of working somewhere on the construction site as my father does.'



Educational trajectories and language regime

- On language of literacy acquisition

'[Learning in another than your home language] makes it harder to read, harder to learn and harder to understand. And then, in the test, you think 'Okay, I've understood the question, I know the answer, but I can't express myself the way I want to'.'

- On educational decision-making (passage primary-secondary)
 'A chain is only as strong as the weakest element and the problem in my case was French. And French is very important in Luxembourg'.
- On late track changes within secondary schooling

'When entering the ESC [the academic track] I had problems, because at the RT [former track] we had all subjects in German and then at ESC suddenly in French, so biochemistry and physics were suddenly in French'.

Summary





- Current educational reforms regarding language flexibility seem to recognize the mismatch.
- Further research is needed to
 - evaluate the outcomes and monitor whether targeted student groups benefit from specific programs
 - study intended and unintended consequences of structural adjustments and
 - analyse structural relationships through statistical analyses (numbers) along with students' perspectives through qualitative approaches (voices)



Thank you for your attention!

susanne.backes@uni.lu www.bildungsbericht.lu



LUXEMBOURG CENTRE FOR EDUCATIONAL TESTING

Dr. Thomas Lenz

Education for all?

The Luxembourgish education system and its pitfalls.



Tracking and differentiation in different countries

□ FACULTY OF HUMANITIES, EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SCIENCES



Berufsschule/Betrieb




Tracking: Students are tracked at around **age 12** after completing the *cycle 4* of primary education.

Criteria for Tracking:

- Academic performance (especially in German, French, and Mathematics).
- Teacher assessments and parental consultation.
- Heavy emphasis on **language proficiency** due to trilingual curriculum.

Educational Mobility

Low Upward Mobility: Once students are placed in a lower track, it is very difficult to switch upward (language).

Rigid Transitions: Movement between pathways (e.g. vocational to general or general to classical) is rare (language).



High Inequality: According to EpStan, OECD and PISA data, Luxembourg has one of the widest achievement gaps between:

Native vs. migrant students High vs. low socio-economic status (SES) students

Language Barriers:

Children from **non-German- or non-French-speaking homes** struggle early. **Language of instruction changes** multiple times which disproportionately affects **immigrant** and **working-class** students.

Support Measures:

Programs like **REVIS** and **language support classes** exist but are **not sufficient** to bridge gaps.

Early disadvantage tends to **accumulate**, especially among students with fewer family resources.

Social inequality and education

□ FACULTY OF HUMANITIES, EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SCIENCES



Poverty risk in Europe over time 20% 15% 10 % 5% 0% 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 Belgium Germany Eurozone Luxembourg France

Percentage of primary school students whose family receives REVIS benefits (LUX)



STATEC 2024

Sattler et al. 2024

Example: Mathematical skills and Socio-Economic Status (SES) from Cycle 2.1 to 3.1 to 4.1

□ FACULTY OF HUMANITIES, EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SCIENCES







Example: Transitions and Socio-Economic Status (SES)

□ FACULTY OF HUMANITIES, EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SCIENCES





ESC





low SES



Backes/ Hadjar2024

Example: Transitions and Language spoken at home

UNIVERSITÉ DU LUXEMBOURG

□ FACULTY OF HUMANITIES, EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SCIENCES



Example: Orientation toward the ESC by municipalities (2017 to 2023)

□ FACULTY OF HUMANITIES, EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SCIENCES





Example: Relationship between career and parental SES

□ FACULTY OF HUMANITIES, EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SCIENCES







Hartung 2024

Relationship between migration background and academic performance

□ FACULTY OF HUMANITIES, EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SCIENCES





10.07. 2025 The (Major) Challenges of the Luxembourgish Education System

5 Fondation IDEA – Internal seminar

The Luxembourg School Monitoring

Programme: Insights and Future Directions



Dr. Sonja Ugen Dr. Joanne Colling

www.epstan.lu





LUXEMBOURG IS A HIGHLY DIVERSE AND MULTILINGUAL COUNTRY



at home



High language expectations in the education system

(SCRIPT, 2024)

AN OVERVIEW ON LUXEMBOURG'S MOST RECENT PISA RESULTS (2018)





Figure adapted from Boehm et al. (2016; p. 5)

AN OVERVIEW ON LUXEMBOURG'S MOST RECENT PISA RESULTS (2018)



Mean scores in the three assessed domains



Figure adapted from Boehm et al. (2020; p. 14)

AN OVERVIEW ON LUXEMBOURG'S MOST RECENT PISA RESULTS (2018)

Performance differences between academically advantaged and disadvantaged student groups in Luxembourg





CREATION OF A NATIONAL SCHOOL MONITORING PROGRAMME



UNIVERSITÉ DU

ASSESSMENT TIME POINTS OF THE ÉPREUVES STANDARDISÉES (ÉpStan)





At the beginning of each new learning cycle, the **ÉpStan allow to monitor** whether the students have achieved the **competence levels of the previous learning cycle** as defined in the national education standards.

STANDARDIZED ACHIEVEMENT TESTS IN EDUCATIONAL KEY DOMAINS





STANDARDIZED ACHIEVEMENT TESTS IN EDUCATIONAL KEY DOMAINS



Mathematics (G1 to G9) **Reading comprehension (G3 to G9)** Identifying and applying Continuous and discontinuous Numbers and operations information presented text forms (e.g., stories, recipes) Space and shapes in a text Sizes and measures • Data Construing information **Different topics** and activating (e.g., hobbies, friendship) reading strategies Contextualized and Dependence decontextualized and variation (e.g., problem solving, basic skills) Interpreting texts and drawing conclusions by activating previous knowledge G3 - G9G5 - G9G1 – G5 G7 – G9

STUDENT (G1 TO G9) AND PARENT QUESTIONNAIRES (G1 TO G3)





Student questionnaire to collect information on:

- Student background characteristics (e.g., gender, language background)
- Educational trajectories
- Motivation (e.g., domain-general and domain-specific)
- Learning environments (e.g., class climate, teacher-student relationship)



Parent questionnaire to collect information on:

- Family background (e.g., socio-economic status, language contact)
- Educational trajectories and early childcare attendance
- Parental support in learning
- Questionnaire available in four languages (e.g., German, French, Portuguese and English)

FEEDBACK AT DIFFERENT LEVELS





IDENTIFICATION OF EARLY EDUCATIONAL INEQUALITIES



uni.In



Students speaking another language than Luxembourgish/German at home have repeatedly been identified

to struggle academically in Luxembourg's multilingual education system:





groups in the school population

These students are:

- less likely to reach the educational minimum standards
- more likely to repeat one (or more) grades
- <u>less likely</u> to attend a <u>higher secondary school track</u>

To respond to the high diversity and to the existing educational inequalities, the government has broadened



These new offers allow students to learn in a language closer to their home language background.

STEPWISE EXTENSION TO INCULE EUROPEAN PUBLIC SCHOOLS





ÉpStan achievement tests in mathematics at all grade levels

administered in German, French, or English (language section).



Language background

		N	HISEI (M)	% female	% natives	% Lux/German	% French	% Portuguese
European Public Schools	G1	346	59	49 %	11 %	14 %	41 %	10 %
	G3	331	58	52 %	10 %	12 %	41 %	9 %
	G5	300	59	45 %	9 %	9 %	36 %	10 %
Luxembourgish curriculum	G1	5823	51	48 %	40 %	43 %	21 %	23 %
	G3	5775	51	49 %	39 %	40 %	20 %	22 %
	G5	5546	49	49 %	38 %	43 %	21 %	22 %

The student population in EPS **differs considerably** from the student population following the Luxembourgish curriculum, particularly regarding **SES** (higher share of socioeconomically advantaged students) and **language background** (higher share of French-speaking students).

RESULTS IN MATHEMATICS





Results indicate that:

- On average, students in EPS perform better in mathematics than their peers following the Luxembourgish curriculum across all three grade levels.
- The differences exceed regularly observed fluctuations of ± 10 ÉpStan points.
- The difference is most pronounced in Grade 5.

RESULTS IN MATHEMATICS SPLIT BY LANGUAGE BACKGROUND





Results indicate that:

- On average, students in EPS perform better in mathematics irrespective of their language background.
- Student groups that have repeatedly been found to struggle academically perform better in mathematics (e.g., students speaking another language than Luxembourgish or German) than their peers with the same language background following the Luxembourgish curriculum.

STEPWISE EXTENSION TO INCULE FRENCH LITERACY PILOT PROJECT





•

.

ÉpStan achievement tests in listening comprehension and early literacy

in Grade 1 administered in the selected language of literacy acquisition.

SAMPLE DESCRIPTIVES AND METHODOLOGY



Language background

					Langu	Language background		
····	N	HISEI (M)	% female	% natives	% Lux/German	% French	% Portuguese	
ALPHA-FR ALPHA	48	42	56 %	15 %	17 %	29 %	46 %	
ALPHA-FR reference group	240	43	56 %	13 %	17 %	27 %	52 %	
National level	5824	51	48 %	40 %	42 %	21 %	23 %	

The PSM allowed the successful creation of an ALPHA-FR reference group consisting of students with comparable background characteristics to the ALPHA-FR group (e.g., comparable SES and language background) and with comparable academic skills in Luxembourgish listening comprehension and mathematics.

Important to remember **Only the ALPHA-FR group is learning to read and write in French**; the ALPHA-FR reference group is following the regular Luxembourgish curriculum and thus learning to read and write in German.

RESULTS IN EARLY LITERACY





Results indicate that:

• Whereas no differences arise for Level 1 items, students from the ALPHA-FR group are performing slightly better in Level 2 items assessing early literacy (test administered in French) compared to students with similar background variables learning to read and write in German.

RESULTS IN LISTENING COMPREHENSION





Results indicate that:

• Student from the ALPHA-FR group are performing considerably better in listening comprehension (test administered in French) at both Level 1 and 2 compared to students with similar background variables learning to read and write in German.

RESULTS FOR STUDENT MOTIVATION AND PARENTAL SUPPORT





Results indicate that:

• Student from the ALPHA-FR group are more intrinsically motivated to learn in French compared to students with similar background variables to learn in German and parents from the ALPHA-FR students perceive themselves as more able to support their child academically.



Cautious interpretation

The ÉpStan results on **EPS and the French literacy project** offer a first important indication that broadening the educational offer might **contribute to reducing existing educational inequalities**.



Academic achievement and motivational data are better for the students in general

2. The at-risk student population (e.g., low SES, Portuguese-speaking) seems to benefit particularly

Due to important methodological limitations the results should however be interpreted with caution:

Small number of EPS and ALPHA-FR students						
Growing number of students and availability of longitudinal data						

Conceptual comparability of the language tests

ÉpStan based on Luxembourgish curriculum

CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK



Due to its sound statistical methodology and its strong anchoring in the Luxembourgish education system, the ÉpStan

allow an in-depth & timely analysis of educational reforms and disruptions of the education system (e.g., COVID-19).









dashboard.epstan.lu



10.07. 2025 The (Major) Challenges of the Luxembourgish Education System

Fondation IDEA – Internal seminar

Thank you for your attention!



Dr. Sonja Ugen

Dr. Joanne Colling

www.epstan.lu




Centre for Educational Research and Innovation - CERI

Does Luxembourg have a high performing education system?

And how would we know?

Edmund Misson Head of Division Innovation and Measuring Progress Directorate for Education and Skills



The OECD's Work in Education



What would success in education look like?

Individual

- Mastery of basic skills ٠
- Skills for employment

Personal growth and

Health and other social

Skills for civic participation

fulfilment

outcomes

System

- Equity of outcomes
- Efficiency •
- Sustainability •

Society

- Economic growth •
- Trust and democracy
- Sustainability •
- Innovation •
- Improved health
- Reduced expenditure on crime, health care
- Creation of new knowledge





Luxembourg spends a lot on education

Total expenditure per full-time equivalent student in primary, secondary and tertiary education (2021)





Trends in expenditure on educational institutions as a percentage of GDP, primary to tertiary education (2015 and 2021)



Performance is average at best

PISA scores in reading, mathematics and science – 2018



There is an equity issue – but maybe not the one you think

PISA scores for different groups – 2018



Returns to education are high

Private net financial returns for a man or a woman attaining tertiary education (2021)



But below average in relative terms

Relative earnings of workers compared to those with upper secondary attainment, by educational attainment (2022)



Some questions based on the data

- Is there scope for some targeted investments?
- How can the system better serve immigrant students?
- Why is the socio-economic gap so wide?
- Are the returns on education enough to create incentives?
- How much is education valued culturally?
- What do Luxembourgers want from their education system?



How could Luxembourg link data and research to policy and practice?

From evidence to policy to practice





- What is the world we're preparing students for?
- What are we trying to achieve?
- What does evidence say will get us there?
- What are we prepared to do?
- How will we know if we're on track?



Main themes

Armed conflict and international **migration**; global **trade** and energy **Global conflict and** cooperation security; climate change, green tech and science cooperation. Changing **labour markets** and opportunities for young people; Work and progress socio-economic and gender equality; our digital and sustainable lives. Voices and **Democracy** and diverse voices; **polarisation**, populism and fake storytelling news; digital discourse and connected devices. Mental health and addictions; environmental health threats and **Bodies and minds** fertility; disability, medical technology and care work. Cross-cutting themes: technology, sustainability and (in)equality

Trends Shaping Education 2025

Strat

Strategic Foresight

%

Future 2 C All out digital

Due to advances in digital technologies, AI and robotics, 86% of work is either automated or done remotely. This aligns with people's wish for flexibility and autonomy, and employers' wish to cut costs.

Poverty is high, with many attaining only partial employment, if any. The few who work in roles that require physical presence are part of a separate social class.

Environmental gains from the massive decrease in work-commute are offset by a rise in energy consumption to upkeep the digital sphere.

Learning at all levels is **primarily digital and online**, including AI-personalisation and VR immersive experiences, and is distributed in format. Θ

Schools do not exist as physical spaces for children over age 9; instead, students join virtual communities. Teachers act as online guides, thus fewer are needed and a 1:85 ratio is common.

The rich pay for **private face-to-face learning**, while others **struggle with the extra burden** of learning-from-home, and its impact on mental health. Yet, some students flourish in digital settings.



Some of my colleagues say the recent young recruits breeze through their training, treating it like another online module. But in my sector, emotional support for children, I can barely keep up with the demand. Finding young therapists with the socio-emotional skills we need is tough, probably since they grew up with so little real human interaction. When I do find one, they sometimes struggle with the technical side, like using the AI system that's central to our service. Thankfully, AI translation lets me hire globally now. With more people from diverse backgrounds succeeding with AI-driven education and training, AI-HR offers me a broader talent pool to choose from.

Measuring Progress

Singapore





Source: OECD, PISA 2018 Database and PISA 2022 Database.



Source: OECD, PISA 2018 Database and PISA 2022 Database.

Measuring Progress

Denmark





Source: OECD, PISA 2018 Database and PISA 2022 Database.

Use of evidence and data

• Creating incentives and infrastructure

SYSTEM

- Establishing mechanisms
- Strategic leadership and coordination

- Building relationships
- Facilitating quality interactions
- **RELATIONAL** ·

LINEAR

Building skills and capacity

Disseminating and communicating research

- Facilitating access to research
- Linking research production to policy/practice needs

Based on: Best, A. and Holmes, B. (2011) and Boaz (2021)

A culture of evaluation

System – are our policies and programs working? What emerging issues do we need to be aware of?

> Institution – are we contributing to national targets? Are we using resources efficiently?

Teacher – are my students learning what they should be? How can I help them more?



- Pick a small number of areas of focus and be consistent
- What can be measured vs what really matters
- What incentives are you creating?
- Managing processes or outcomes?
- Bringing people with you